

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,829	10/26/2001	Steve B Burns	P 282646	1392
909	7590 11/05/2003	EXAMINER		INER
PILLSBUR	Y WINTHROP, LLP		BISSETT, MELANIE D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V				
MCDERT, TIL DETOE			L711	

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
West and the	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/889,829	BURNS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Melanie D. Bissett	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP IR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the applicant argues that the reference does not specifically indicate the claimed amount of ethylene oxide in relation to the total adhesive content, it is noted that the reference does specifically note the ethylene oxide content of the reaction product of (a) and (b). See p. 6 line 29-p. 7 line 8. The reference discloses an ethylene oxide content of 1-90% of the polyol (b) and then suggests that this yields an ethylene oxide content of 0.01-27% in the prepolymer. In the context of this description, it is the examiner's position that this "prepolymer" refers to the reaction product of (a) and (b). Thus, one skilled in the art would clearly envision the use of reaction products having ethylene oxide contents as high as 27%. Note that this range contains substantial overlap with the applicant's claimed range of above 2.5%. Regarding the applicant's arguments that this still would not provide the applicant's claimed range because of the indicated use of fillers and other additives in the total adhesive composition of the reference, note that such additives are optional (p. 9 line 12-p. 10 line 11). Furthermore, it is the examiner's position that the use of a reaction product having the indicated 27% ethylene oxide content would allow for the addition of catalysts and ethylene oxide content. The reference as a whole teaches the claimed ethylene oxide content.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER